Case 1:13-cv-04137-JSR Document 164 Filed 11/05/14 Page 1 of 9 1530

EA08ADRF 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 ADREA, LLC, 4 Plaintiff, 5 13 Cv. 4137 (JSR) v. 6 BARNES & NOBLE, INC., BARNESANDNOBLE.COM LLC, AND 7 NOOK MEDIA LLC, 8 Defendants. 9 10 October 24, 2014 9:00 a.m. 11 Before: 12 HON. JED S. RAKOFF 13 District Judge 14 APPEARANCES 15 PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff BY: STEVEN M. BAUER 17 COLIN CABRAL BRENDAN COX 18 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 19 Attorneys for Defendants BY: LOUIS S. EDERER 20 ALI R. SHARIFAHMADIAN MICHAEL A. BERTA 21 YUE-HAN CHOW SARAH BRACKNEY ARNI 22 SUSAN L. SHIN 23 24 25

(Jury not present; time noted: 9:00 a.m.)

THE COURT: This is Judge Rakoff. I understand we have received a note from the jury. I will ask my law clerk first to read the note itself.

LAW CLERK: "To the judge:

"The plaintiff bears the burden of proving the amount of such charges by a preponderance of the credible evidence.

"Based on the instructions above and the fact that we don't have plaintiff testimony, what should be considered our role in coming up with estimating damages?"

THE COURT: When that was first read to me a few minutes ago, I drafted a very first rough draft of a response, which I will ask my law clerk to now read also into the record.

LAW CLERK: "To the jury: Although the plaintiff's damages expert testimony was stricken, plaintiffs did introduce some documentary evidence bearing on damages. In addition, defendants' expert calculated what he believed would be reasonable damages if infringement was found. In determining damages, even though plaintiff bears the burden of proof, you can still consider all evidence including any evidence introduced by the defendants. Finally, if you find in the end that, even taking account of all the evidence, plaintiff has not carried its burden as to any amount of damages but has nonetheless proved infringement, you should award the amount of

zero dollars, which is known as nominal damages."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

THE COURT: OK. Let me hear first from plaintiff's counsel and then from defense counsel as to any suggestions, corrections, additions, whatever, to that proposal.

MR. CABRAL: Thank you. This Colin Cabral on behalf of plaintiff. Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. CABRAL: Plaintiff has three proposed, I guess, changes or modifications. The first is a relatively simple one. We would eliminate the word "some" in front of documentary evidence bearing on damages.

THE COURT: That's fine. Let's eliminate that.

MR. CABRAL: The second would be after the sentence referring to "in addition, defendants' expert calculated," so forth, after the second full sentence, we would propose adding a sentence that reflects the role of expert testimony under 703, something along the lines of expert testimony may be considered, but is not necessary, or something to that effect.

THE COURT: I agree with that. I think it should actually go earlier.

Celia, read me the first sentence again.

LAW CLERK: "Although the plaintiff's damages expert testimony was stricken, plaintiffs did introduce documentary evidence bearing on damages."

THE COURT: Let's start the sentence there. More

generally, while expert testimony may be considered on the issue of damages, it is not necessary for there to be expert testimony on the issue of damages if there is sufficient other evidence to provide a basis for a reasonable calculation of damages.

Let me ask plaintiff's counsel. That's the point you wanted to make, yes?

MR. CABRAL: That's right, your Honor.

THE COURT: You have a third point?

MR. CABRAL: Yes, your Honor. The third point comes at the very end of the proposed language, referring to "you should award the amount of zero dollars."

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CABRAL: "Which is known as nominal damages."

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CABRAL: I think, generally speaking, the award of nominal damages is the proper standard there. But in terms of zero dollars, Section 284 requires that a reasonable royalty be awarded, so I am not sure zero dollars is accurate.

We would propose striking out the language "the amount of zero dollars" and just have the language read, "if you find that the plaintiff has not carried its burden, you should award nominal damages."

THE COURT: I don't think that's correct. I think where the plaintiff hasn't carried its burden, zero is the

3

4 5

2

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

right amount. Because what that indicates is they don't have a basis to calculate damages, but they are still informed to determine infringement. So I will leave it as is on nominal damages, but I accept your other two changes.

Let's hear from defense counsel.

MR. EDERER: With respect to the first proposed change, we don't have a problem with that one. That's just the changing of one word in the first sentence.

We do, however, have an issue with the second sentence that has been added. I think that may carry the suggestion that there is sufficient or could be sufficient evidence in the record. I think that needs to be tied -- if it's going to be delivered at all, I think it needs to be tied to the burden. In other words, the burden still remains. Standing alone that sentence suggests that you can ignore expert testimony and that there may be sufficient evidence in this record separate and apart from expert testimony. I think that has to be coupled somehow with a reminder that the burden is still to be carried.

THE COURT: I hear what you're saying. I will get to that in a second. I agree with that. I will make a change along those lines.

Anything else?

MR. EDERER: No, your Honor.

Well, yes. In the last sentence, I think to be complete and consistent with the jury instructions, where it 1 say

says "but has nonetheless has proved infringement," I think there should be some reference at that point to invalidity as well.

THE COURT: The reason I did that is they wouldn't have gotten to damages if they hadn't rejected your claims of invalidity.

All right. Let me hear from my law clerk where we are at the moment, and then I am going to add a sentence reflecting the other point you made.

LAW CLERK: You wanted me to read the rest of it?

THE COURT: Read the whole thing from the beginning including the sentence that I have added so far.

LAW CLERK: "To the jury:

"Although the plaintiff's damages expert testimony was stricken, plaintiffs did introduce documentary evidence bearing on damages. More generally, while expert testimony may be considered on the issue of damages, it is not necessary for there to be expert testimony on the issue of damages if there is sufficient other evidence on the issue of damages."

THE COURT: There is sufficient evidence to satisfy the plaintiff's burden on damages. That's the point that was just being made.

Keep going.

LAW CLERK: "In addition, defendants' expert calculated what he believed would be reasonable damages if

infringement was found." 1 2 THE COURT: OK. If infringement of a valid patent claim or claims was found. 3 4 LAW CLERK: OK. "In determining damages, even though 5 plaintiff bears the burden of proof, you can still consider all 6 evidence including any evidence introduced by the defendants. 7 Finally, if you find in the end that, even taking account of all the evidence, plaintiff has not carried its burden as to 8 9 any amount of damages but has nonetheless proved infringement, 10 vou should --" 11 THE COURT: Proved infringement of a valid claim or 12 claims. 13 LAW CLERK: "You should award the amount of zero 14 dollars, which is known as nominal damages." 15 THE COURT: OK. Good. I think that is a print, as 16 they say. 17 So I will have my law clerk type it up nicely, put at the bottom Judge Rakoff, send it into the jury, have a copy 18 19 made for each side and also a copy marked as a court exhibit. 20 Anything else we need to take up now? 21 MR. CABRAL: Nothing from plaintiff. 22 MR. EDERER: No, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Very good. Thanks so much. 24 (Recess pending verdict) 25 (Jury not present; time noted: 3:55 p.m.)

1 THE COURT: I want my law clerk to please read the 2 plaintiff's note. 3 LAW CLERK: "To the judge: Requesting Ned Barnes 4 report evidence, DTX 787, and calculator, and James Hilt's 5 testimony." 6 THE COURT: All right. If it's in evidence, they 7 should have it. THE DEPUTY CLERK: It's not in evidence. 8 9 THE COURT: Then they can't have it. 10 They can have, obviously, the testimony and they can 11 have a calculator. 12 There is a calculator down at chambers. 13 THE DEPUTY CLERK: I already have one, Judge. I have 14 got the calculator. I have got nine copies of the testimony. 15 THE COURT: Great. Let's send that in. And to speed things up, unless any counsel disagrees, I will just have my 16 17 courtroom deputy tell them, when the other items are brought 18 in, that the exhibit they mentioned is not in evidence. 19 THE DEPUTY CLERK: OK. Are we going to refer to the 20 Barnes report? 21 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Read me the note one more 22 time. 23 LAW CLERK: "Requesting Ned Barnes report evidence, 24 DTX 787." 25 THE COURT: That seems to be a request for that

Case 1:13-cv-04137-JSR Document 164 Filed 11/05/14 Page 9 of 9

EAO8ADRF exhibit, right? Or you think it is a request for his 1 testimony? 2 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: You are absolutely. THE COURT: Let me hear from counsel. 4 5 MR. CABRAL: We agree with that interpretation. 6 THE COURT: So just to move things along, we will take 7 in the calculator and the Hilt testimony and my courtroom 8 deputy will just tell them that the other item is not in 9 evidence. 10 Anything else we need to take up now? 11 MR. CABRAL: Not from plaintiff. 12 MR. SHARIFAHMADIAN: Not from defendant. 13 (Recess pending verdict) 14 (Adjourned to October 27, 2014, at 9:00 a.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

23

24

25